How Governments Can Promote Automated Driving

Public officials frequently ask what their governments can do to promote and attract automated vehicles. This poster previews potential state and local strategies, some of which may also have national relevance. As the color coding below indicates, the different technologies and applications that constitute automated driving may demand different strategies:

Paths to fully automated driving

"Everything Somewhere"

"Something Everywhere"

Prepare government

Say what you are doing!

At the state level, this person should have the authority A legal audit should identify and analyze every statute and regulation that could and credibility to coordinate among the state's various apply either adversely or ambiguously to automated driving. Automated Vehicles Are Probably Legal in the United States identifies many such provisions, from general administrative agencies, between the governor and the Identify a single point of contact requirements of prudent conduct to the specific New York rule that a driver must legislature, between federal and state authorities, and between state and local authorities. Moreover, this keep at least one hand on the wheel. Because vehicle codes, insurance rules, and Learn from credible sources other relevant laws vary by jurisdiction, merely enacting a uniform "automated person should act as a liaison between the public and driving law" without reference to these nuances could confuse as much as clarify. Account for automation in planning processes private sectors. Companies and universities in the state may already be engaged in potentially relevant work, and Allocate resources commensurate with expectations if a large or small developer of automated systems is If advancements in vehicle technologies ultimately compel novel registration or jurisdiction for development licensing determinations, treating the decisions of one jurisdiction as conclusive in demonstration, or deployment, it should know precisely another could reduce the administrative difficulties that developers might otherwise Prepare physical and digital infrastructures face. Reciprocity—or even unilateral recognition—could also benefit smaller whom in government to call. jurisdictions that lack the consumer demand to motivate companies to enter the Maintain roadways market or the public resources to establish a holistic regulatory regime. Roads-even major ones-in much of the United Review design, operation, and maintenance policies States are in poor condition. Highway lane markings Advanced driver assistance and emergency intervention systems might encounter used by some lanekeeping systems are frequently Ensure these policies are followed situations, like a bicyclist who swerves to avoid an opened car door, that require faded or, worse, simply wrong. Potholes and other rapid deceleration or other abrupt maneuvers that may imperil vehicle occupants Strengthen and standardize data management pavement deficiencies that are unlikely to be detected who are not belted. Enforcing seatbelt laws could maximize the safety of the people or avoided by current lane centering systems can be both inside and outside these vehicles. Governments could also update seatbelt laws Update vehicle registration databases found even on major freeways. Debris and other that were originally enacted when seatbelt usage was much less common. In many foreign objects that could conceivably confuse an states, for example, statutory or common law rules restrict whether or for what Coordinate with USDOT on DSRC automated emergency intervention system litter purpose a defendant automaker can introduce evidence than an injured plaintiff was roads and shoulders. Addressing these conditions not wearing her seatbelt. Allowing developers of automated systems to assume that could help to improve the effectiveness of near-term **Prepare society** people who care about their safety will buckle up may help to ease some of the automated systems. design challenges that these developers face. Educate the public on the dangers of driving today Data concerning roadways, traffic, incidents, and construction Develop a break-the-glass plan for automation incidents Many agencies already have relevant authority. For example, DMVs are generally authorized to deny or revoke the registration of unsafe should be current, correct, and accessible. Both the public and the Recognize broader technological and social changes private sector play important roles in the collection, validation, and vehicles. But these agencies do need resources and flexibility. Critically, distribution of these data, which may be used by some advanced agencies should have the authority to achieve equivalent ends through Develop strategies for structural un- and underemployment different means and to grant exceptions to statutory regimes. At the driver assistance systems to proactively identify locations needing updated maps and situations needing driver intervention. same time, governments should ensure that local enforcement discretion is exercised consistent with these policy decisions. Who will respond publicly to a crash, and how? What relationships will be essential to effective coordination? What evidence and information will need to be preserved, and how? Especially if officials have publicly embraced the potential of these technologies, how Policies that make vehicle owners and operators bear the true cost of driving will indirectly benefit will they address any fear or outrage that results from a high-profile crash, regardless of where it occurs? A government that addresses technologies that produce gains in fuel efficiency or safety. Similarly, eliminating free and underpriced parking could encourage automation-enabled ridesharing by discouraging individual vehicle ownership. these issues proactively and ultimately positively signals its credibility as a potential technological partner.

Developing a project proposal grounded in the particular conditions of the particular community can help to attract and focus local attention. At some point, the proposal could become the basis for an FTA grant application or a pitch to a private developer of automated systems.

States, counties, and municipalities in the United States own nearly 1.5 million cars, 500,000 buses, and another 1.5 million trucks. If the turnover rate for these fleets is ten percent, then these governments purchase some 350,000 vehicles annually—five times more each year than Tesla has sold in its entire existence. Because of contracts and concessions, the number of vehicles closely associated with government services is likely even greater.

Identify local needs and opportunities
--

Inventory local activity centers (e.g., campuses, CBDs, ports) Promote unique community attributes Develop project proposals (public/private; local/other)



How Governments Can Promote Automated Driving (forthcoming article); Regulation and the Risk of Inaction; Automated and Autonomous Driving: Regulation under Uncertainty (2015 OECD report with Joakim Svensson); Automated Vehicles Are Probably Legal in the United States (2012 article); A Legal Perspective on Three Misconceptions in Vehicle Automation (2015 book chapter); Lawyers and Engineers Should Speak the Same Language (2015 book chapter); Proximity-Driven Liability (2014 article)

Bryant Walker Smith, University of South Carolina School of Law | newlypossible.org | law.sc.edu/faculty/smith | cyberlaw.stanford.edu/bws

1) Increasing capability of advanced emergency intervention systems (AEIS)

2) Increasing capability of advanced driver assistance systems (ADAS)

3) Increasing capability of driverless systems

Primarily promotes driverless systems

For further discussion of each of the strategies below, please see Bryant Walker Smith, How Governments Can Promote Automated Driving, forthcoming at newlypossible.org.

Deploy public resources strategically

Preference safety systems in fleet procurement, service contracts, and concessions

Reduce subsidies for private vehicle ownership

Seek the creative use of HOV/HOT lanes, sidewalks, living streets, traffic signals, etc.

For more information, please see the materials at newlypossible.org:

Color key for each individual strategy

Primarily promotes AEIS/ADAS

Primarily promotes all three pathways

Giving insurers the data, the flexibility, and potentially even the mandate to accurately and precisely price driving risks could help smooth the introduction of automated vehicles.

Prepare legal infrastructure

- Do not just pass a new law Audit existing law Inventory existing legal tools Ask developers what they need Seek uniformity of underlying law Embrace regulatory reciprocity Incorporate technical work into law Employ generic legal language selectively Clarify the legal status of novel vehicles and services Tailor bans on the use of electronic devices
- Enforce laws on speeding, texting, and drunk driving Strengthen laws on seatbelt use
- Embrace regulatory flexibility
- Clarify enforcement discretion

Internalize the costs of driving

Raise fuel taxes

Raise mandatory insurance minimums

Raise or impose parking prices

Rationalize insurance

Facilitate access to data

Provide flexibility to insurers and customers Embrace pay-as-you-drive models



Identify allies and constituencies

Map an entire chain of support from governor to police chief Reach out to local advocacy groups Reach out to large companies based locally (e.g., insurers, hospitals)



